

<b>1. Document No.:</b> AC 21-54, Experimental A/W Cert of Certain Former Military Aircraft	<b>2. Project Manager:</b>  Richard Posey	<b>3. Reviewing Office:</b>  Reviewer's Name & phone #:	<b>4. Date of Review:</b>	<b>5. Date of Disposition:</b>  July 1, 2014
--	---	--	---------------------------	--

**Instructions for Completing the Document Review Log**

**Blocks 1 & 2: To be completed by project manager prior to sending out for comments.**

**Blocks 3 & 4: To be completed by reviewing office. Enter office symbol, reviewers name and phone number.**

**Block 5: To be completed by project manager after receiving comments from reviewing office. Enter date of disposition.**

**The below columns are to be completed by the reviewing office, except for the "Disposition" column.**

**Project manager's disposition in comments in the last column below. Enter the reasons for non-incorporated comments. Identify each disposition as:**

- **Adopted;**
- **Partially Adopted;**
- **Non-Concur;**
- **Concur but Outside of Scope (Will be considered in next change/revision); or**
- **Answer to Question or Statement.**

<b>Item No:</b>	<b>Page and Paragraph No:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Reason:</b>	<b>Recommendation:</b>	<b>Disposition:</b>
-----------------	-------------------------------	-----------------	----------------	------------------------	---------------------

**Public Comments**

1.	Appendix A, Sample Program Letter for a Special Airworthiness Certificate	This is a sample of a Program Letter. Order 8130.2 is also going through a revision that is proposed to include the same Program Letter format.	If one or the other documents, this AC21- XX or ORDER 8130.2, has a reason to change the Program Letter format, there exists the possibility that there will then be 2 versions of the same document. It is an audit trap, as well as potentially creating an ASI or industry confusion point.	Remove the sample from this document and have this document reference ORDER 8130.2.	Non-Concur.  Orders are direction for the FAA. An advisory circular is guidance for the public. Document is only a sample and need not be followed verbatim. Including a Program Letter sample in the AC was a management request.
----	---	--	---	--	---

2.	4 para 10	Need to add approved Maintenance Program	Lacking reference to maintenance program requirements	If a person looks at this AC for guidance it is lacking several critical areas	Adopted.  However, be advised that ACs are not mandatory.  Added para 7.c. Potential owners should be aware that they may be required to implement a maintenance program for former military aircraft.
3.	4 para 10	No mention of Ejection Seat Training Program	The Group of aircraft that this AC applies to have ejection seats	If a person looks at this AC for guidance it is lacking several critical areas	Adopted.  However, be advised that AC's are not mandatory.  Added para 7.c. Potential owners should be aware that they may be required to implement a maintenance program for former military aircraft.
4.	6 para (3)	Use of "following year" can be misunderstood	Change to "up coming"?	Use a less confusing term	Adopted..  Do not agree with comment; however, change was incorporated.
5.	6 Note 2	Are ATP standards required?	Is there a minimum standard?	Wouldn't Commercial standards provide same level of safety?	Answer to question:  ATP standards are used in the example provided.